

Page i

CHECKLIST FOR MONOLITHIC MICROCIRCUIT MANUFACTURER AND LINE SURVEY ESCC Basic Specification No. 2029000

Manufacturer :
Location :
Survey Team Leader :
Date of Survey :
Microcircuit Type(s) :

ISSUE 1 October 2002





ESCC Basic Specification

PAGE ii

ISSUE 1

LEGAL DISCLAIMER AND COPYRIGHT

European Space Agency, Copyright © 2002. All rights reserved.

The European Space Agency disclaims any liability or responsibility, to any person or entity, with respect to any loss or damage caused, or allleged to be caused, directly or indirectly by the use and application of this ESCC publication.

This publication, without the prior permission of the European Space Ageny and provided that it is not used for a commercial purpose, may be:

- copied in whole in any medium without alteration or modification.
- copied in part, in any medium, provided that the ESCC document identification, comprising the ESCC symbol, document number and document issue, is removed.



european space agency agence spatiale européenne

Pages 1 to 36

CHECKLIST FOR MONOLITHIC MICROCIRCUIT MANUFACTURER AND LINE SURVEY

ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2029000

Manufacturer	
--------------	--

Location

Survey Team Leader

Date of Survey

Microcircuit Type(s)



space components coordination group

		Appro	ved by
Issue/Rev.	Date	SCCG Chairman	ESA Director General or his Deputy
Issue 1	November 1994	Tonomens	A vous



PAGE 2

ISSUE 1

DOCUMENTATION CHANGE NOTICE

Rev.	Rev.		CHANGE	Approved DCR No.
Letter	Date	Reference	ltem	DCR No.
·				
	·			
:				



PAGE 3

ISSUE 1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	<u>Page</u> 4
2.	SURVEY CHECKLIST	4
2.1	Interview on Arrival of Survey Team	4
2.2	Manufacturer and Survey Team Information	4
2.3	Management Organisation	6
2.4	Quality Assurance System and Organisation	8
2.5	Calibration	10
2.6	Drawing and Change Control	11
2.7	Reliability	12
2.8	Control of Procurement Sources	14
2.9	Control of Incoming Materials	15
2.10	In-process Inspections and Tests	17
2.11	Survey of Manufacturing Line	20
2.11.1	Manufacturing Environment	20
2.11.2	Fabrication of Substrate	20
2.11.3	Circuit Integration on Wafer	22
2.11.4	Production Control	23
2.11.5	Final Test Area and Screening Facility	27
2.12	Facilities and Equipment	31
2.13	Preservation, Packing and Shipping	33
2.14	Summary of Inspection Results	35
2.15	General Observations	36



PAGE

ISSUE

1. INTRODUCTION

This checklist is intended for use during the initial survey of a Manufacturer's ability to produce high quality articles, his management organisation, production facilities, test facilities and technical know-how. When completed, this checklist should enable the party interested in procurement of the subject components to assess the ability of the Manufacturer concerned to successfully execute a contract for the supply of high reliability space hardware.

2. SURVEY CHECKLIST

2.1 <u>INTERVIEW ON ARRIVAL OF SURVEY TEA</u>	٧М	l

(a)	Introductory	Remarks	by	Team	Leader	(Explanation	of	purpose	of	survey,	procedures	to	be
	followed, tim	ie limitatioi	ns, i	etc.):-									

(b) Notes (Atmosphere during reception, willingness to co-operate, interest shown, comments on personnel, general remarks):-

2.2 MANUFACTURER AND SURVEY TEAM INFORMATION

(a) Survey requested by :

Survey Team Leader :

Team Members

(b) Key personnel of Manufacturer interviewed:-

Name Function Tlph. Ext.

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.



	PAGE	5	
ı	ISSUE	1	

(c) Type of Company (Private company, limited company, etc.)

Affiliated	with	any	other	company?	? If	SO,	which:
------------	------	-----	-------	----------	------	-----	--------

	No.	of employees:			
	-	Total number	:		
	-	Production	:		
	-	Quality Assurance	:		
	-	Q.A. Inspection	:		
	-	Prod. Engineering	:		
	-	Design Engineering	:		
	-	Reliability Control	:		
	-	Other	:		
(d)	Nur	mber of shifts	:		
(e)	Pla	nt area	:		
(f)	Ger	neral production line	:		
	(1)	Device types manuf	factured:		
	(2)	Will flow diagrams Team?	of steps to produce monolithic microcircuits be	available YES	to Survey
				TEO	NO
		Are specifications, i	f any, referenced in the flow diagrams?	YES	NO
(g)	Prin	ncipal Government a	nd industrial customers:-		
	1.				
	2.				
	3.				
	4.				
	5.				
(h)	The	Manufacturer's Qua	ality System is organised in accordance with:		

Comments



PAGE	6	
ISSUE	1	

(i) Manufacturer's Government Service Inspection:

DCAS Inspector, resident/non-resident

- (j) National Inspectorate:
- (k) Is the Manufacturer's monolithic microcircuit production

(1) Continuous?	YES	NO
(2) Pilot production?	YES	NO
(3) Advanced R&D, limited?	YES	NO

(I) The Manufacturer has adequate experience in the production of the following hi-rel parts:-

2.3 MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION

- (a) What is general policy/attitude of the Management regarding quality/reliability programme?
- (b) Which level of Management participates actively in orientating policy towards space component production?
- (c) Which organisation, if any, reviews and monitors all work involved in space component production?
- (d) Is work related to space components (contracts) regarded as "normal business" or as belonging to the "unique order" category?
- (e) What is the general policy concerning proprietary rights?
- (f) Has the "Reliability" department the same authority from Management as the "Engineering" and "Production" departments? Does this mean direct responsibility for reliability of products in the line?



the line?

ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2029000

(g) Has the Q.A. Manager direct authority for implementation of quality policy and actions related to

PAGE 7

(h)	Does a system exist for the regular supply of quality report summaries to Management?
	Does this system lead to (corrective) actions being taken in respect of the production line?
(i)	Are key management staff notified of persistent out-of-control conditions?
(j)	What is length of service and experience of key management personnel (Q.A., Reliability, Production, Engineering Design)?
(k)	How would contract for space components be organised?
(i)	How can original requirements from Orderer (Space Agency or end-user) be assumed to be correctly translated into internal instructions?
(m)	How can information necessary to the Orderer (corrective actions, deviations, notification of inspections and/or problem areas) be assumed to be issued and channelled to the Orderer?



PAGE 8

2.4	QUALITY	'ASSURANCE	SYSTEM AND	ORGANISATION
-----	---------	------------	------------	---------------------

(8	a) To whom does Q.A. Manager report?		
(I	 b) Does the company reflect a positive attitude towards Quality Assurance Comments 	YES	NO
(4	 c) Has the Q.A. group sufficient authority in relation to its position within the company's organisation (see organigram)? Comments 		
(4	d) Are areas of responsibility within the Q.A. group clearly defined? Comments		
((e) Are corrective actions to which Q.A. management is committed delegat to responsible staff or does Q.A. management have direct authority regarding the line? Which?	ed 	***************************************
(1	f) Is there a periodic and comprehensive quality data reporting system wh covers all operational phases? Comments	ich 	
(-	g) What is the relationship between Q.A. and Reliability?		
((h) Is a Q.A. manual or equivalent document supplied to all levels of appropriate supervisory personnel?Is such document kept updated?Comments		



PAGE	9	
ISSUE	1	

(i)	Are written procedures	available for identi	fication and positive	control	YES	NO
(1)	of accepted/rejected m		neation and positive	CONTROL		
	Comments					
(j)	What is ratio Q.A. insp	ectors : personnel	directly involved in p	oroduction?		
(k)	Is inspection (acceptar personnel:-	nce sampling or sor	ting) performed by	Q.A.		
	On receipt?	Sampling	Sorting	None		
	During processing?	Sampling	Sorting	None		
	During final testing?	Sampling	Sorting	None		
	Comments					
(l)	Are written procedures	kept and used in a	areas for:-			
	Receiving inspection?					
	In-process inspection?					
	Fabrication processing	?				
	Final testing?					
	Comments					
(m)	Does Q.A. maintain a s (control chart, lot plot,			ic controls		
	In-process inspection?					
	Fabrication processing	?				
	Final inspection?				-	
	Comments					
(n)	Is Q.A. responsible for of, quality training?	determination of no	eed for, and the cor	nducting		
	Comments					
(o)	Are training programme	es provided for spe	cial process person	nel?		
	Comments					



PAGE	10
------	----

			YES	NO
	(p)	Do employees have to pass tests:-		
		After training?		
		Periodically?		
		Comments		
	(q)	Are production operators provided with visual aids and working instructions?		
		Comments		
2.5	CA	<u>LIBRATION</u>		
				
	(a)	Does Manufacturer maintain calibration facilities and standards?		
		Is this service purchased?	·	
		If so, from whom?		
	(b)	Do calibration personnel have written procedures for control and a time schedule for measurement frequency?		
		Comments		
	(c)	Is there an effective calibration record control system?		
	(d)	Are calibration procedures adhered to and up-to-date?	Married Total	•
		Comments		
	(e)	Are decals used for equipment identification to show that units have been calibrated; when next calibration date is due and calibrator identification?		
		Are decals up-to-date?		
	(f)	Are adjustments of calibrated equipment required to be sealed and tamper-proof?		
	(g)	Who is in charge of initiating calibration steps?		
		User		
		Calibration personnel	-	
		Q.A.		



PAGE 11

			YES	NO
	(h)	Do calibration procedures provide for removal of any equipment not maintained or calibrated according to established schedules?		
		Comments		
	(i)	Have calibrating personnel up-to-date certification records reflecting date, traceability to NBS and identification of calibrator?		*****
		(1) Mechanical standard?		
		(2) Electrical standard?		
	(j)	Is modified and/or repaired equipment calibrated prior to release?		
2.6	DF	AWING AND CHANGE CONTROL		
	(a)	Has Manufacturer adequate written procedures for control of specification and contract changes?		
		Comments		
	(b)	Does Manufacturer's system provide for documented change control guaranteeing availability of required drawing at relevant manufacturing or inspection step?		
		Do flow documents show current revisions?		
		Comments		
	(c)	Are drawings furnished by ESTEC and contract changes adequately controlled? Comments		
	(d)	Does Q.A. review all drawings and changes therein prior to their becoming effective? Comments		
	(e)	Has Manufacturer established a procedure for notifying his Supplier of changes in drawings?		
		Comments		
	(f)	Are current specification revisions shown on prints of drawings?		



PAGE 12

0 ==	m.c.		YES	NO
2.7	HE	LIABILITY		
	(a)	Is structure of Reliability organisation clearly defined?	***************************************	
		Has Reliability same authority in respect of the line as Production or Engineering management?		
		Comments		
	(b)	to those a divect food hook of information between Deliability Design		
	(0)	Is there a direct feed-back of information between Reliability, Design Engineering and Q.A. groups to ensure timely notification of all relevant data?		
		Comments		
	(c)	Does Reliability respond promptly and efficiently to unexpected and/or newly detected failure modes?		
		Comments		
	(d)	Are line failures (types and causes) analysed and reported to those responsible for corrective actions?		
	(e)	Are corrective actions resulting from failure analysis agreed with the Q.A. group involved or Reliability if parts or process changes must be made?		
		Q.A. Group		
		Reliability		
		Comments		
	(f)	Has Reliability right to approve test specifications, data tabulation,		
	(.,	parts or process changes?		***********
	(a)	Is there a system for in-process failure analysis?		
	(8)	End-item failure?		
		Reporting?		
		Comments	****	



PAG	E 1	3

Production line rejects	(h)	Are following items submitted to failure analysis as a matter of routine?	YES	NO
- Lots with a high rejection rate Define: - Items returned by Orderer - Items returned by Orderer with special request for failure analysis	(11)			
Define: Items returned by Orderer Items returned by Orderer with special request for failure analysis		-		
- Items returned by Orderer - Items returned by Orderer with special request for failure analysis				
- Items returned by Orderer with special request for failure analysis				
(i) Has Manufacturer a failure analysis laboratory or an equivalent facility? Comments (j) Are failure analysis procedures:- (1) Available? (2) In use? (3) Adequate? Comments (k) Is failure analysis equipment:- (1) Available? (2) In use? (3) Adequate? Comments (i) Are there special personnel for failure analysis? Comments (m) Are failure analysis reports:- (1) Available? (2) Adequate? Comments (n) Has Reliability a programme to ensure reliability of monolithic microcircuit		- Items returned by Orderer		
(i) Are failure analysis procedures:- (1) Available? (2) In use? (3) Adequate? Comments (k) Is failure analysis equipment:- (1) Available? (2) In use? (3) Adequate? Comments (l) Are there special personnel for failure analysis? Comments (m) Are failure analysis reports:- (1) Available? (2) Adequate? Comments (n) Has Reliability a programme to ensure reliability of monolithic microcircuit		- Items returned by Orderer with special request for failure analysis		
(1) Available? (2) In use? (3) Adequate? Comments (k) Is failure analysis equipment:- (1) Available? (2) In use? (3) Adequate? Comments (l) Are there special personnel for failure analysis? Comments (m) Are failure analysis reports:- (1) Available? (2) Adequate? Comments (n) Has Reliability a programme to ensure reliability of monolithic microcircuit	(i)			
(1) Available? (2) In use? (3) Adequate? Comments (k) Is failure analysis equipment:- (1) Available? (2) In use? (3) Adequate? Comments (l) Are there special personnel for failure analysis? Comments (m) Are failure analysis reports:- (1) Available? (2) Adequate? Comments (n) Has Reliability a programme to ensure reliability of monolithic microcircuit				
(2) In use? (3) Adequate? Comments (k) Is failure analysis equipment:- (1) Available? (2) In use? (3) Adequate? Comments (l) Are there special personnel for failure analysis? Comments (m) Are failure analysis reports:- (1) Available? (2) Adequate? Comments (n) Has Reliability a programme to ensure reliability of monolithic microcircuit	(j)	Are failure analysis procedures:-		
(3) Adequate? Comments (k) Is failure analysis equipment:- (1) Available? (2) In use? (3) Adequate? Comments (l) Are there special personnel for failure analysis? Comments (m) Are failure analysis reports:- (1) Available? (2) Adequate? Comments (n) Has Reliability a programme to ensure reliability of monolithic microcircuit		(1) Available?		
Comments (k) Is failure analysis equipment:- (1) Available?		(2) In use?		
(k) Is failure analysis equipment: (1) Available? (2) In use? (3) Adequate? Comments (I) Are there special personnel for failure analysis? Comments (m) Are failure analysis reports: (1) Available? (2) Adequate? Comments (n) Has Reliability a programme to ensure reliability of monolithic microcircuit		(3) Adequate?	-0.000-0.000-0.000	
(1) Available? (2) In use? (3) Adequate? Comments (I) Are there special personnel for failure analysis? Comments (m) Are failure analysis reports: (1) Available? (2) Adequate? Comments (n) Has Reliability a programme to ensure reliability of monolithic microcircuit		Comments		
(1) Available? (2) In use? (3) Adequate? Comments (I) Are there special personnel for failure analysis? Comments (m) Are failure analysis reports: (1) Available? (2) Adequate? Comments (n) Has Reliability a programme to ensure reliability of monolithic microcircuit	(k)	ls failure analysis equipment-		
(2) In use? (3) Adequate? Comments (I) Are there special personnel for failure analysis? Comments (m) Are failure analysis reports:- (1) Available? (2) Adequate? Comments (n) Has Reliability a programme to ensure reliability of monolithic microcircuit	(11)			
(3) Adequate? Comments (I) Are there special personnel for failure analysis? Comments (m) Are failure analysis reports:- (1) Available? (2) Adequate? Comments (n) Has Reliability a programme to ensure reliability of monolithic microcircuit				
Comments (I) Are there special personnel for failure analysis? Comments (m) Are failure analysis reports:- (1) Available? (2) Adequate? Comments (n) Has Reliability a programme to ensure reliability of monolithic microcircuit			an over the second	
Comments (m) Are failure analysis reports:- (1) Available? (2) Adequate? Comments (n) Has Reliability a programme to ensure reliability of monolithic microcircuit				
Comments (m) Are failure analysis reports:- (1) Available? (2) Adequate? Comments (n) Has Reliability a programme to ensure reliability of monolithic microcircuit				
(m) Are failure analysis reports:- (1) Available? (2) Adequate? Comments (n) Has Reliability a programme to ensure reliability of monolithic microcircuit	(l)	Are there special personnel for failure analysis?		
(1) Available? (2) Adequate? Comments (n) Has Reliability a programme to ensure reliability of monolithic microcircuit		Comments		
(1) Available? (2) Adequate? Comments (n) Has Reliability a programme to ensure reliability of monolithic microcircuit	(m)	Ara failura analysis raports:		
(2) Adequate? Comments (n) Has Reliability a programme to ensure reliability of monolithic microcircuit	(111)			
Comments (n) Has Reliability a programme to ensure reliability of monolithic microcircuit				
(n) Has Reliability a programme to ensure reliability of monolithic microcircuit				
		Comments		
device designs prior to release thereof?	(n)			
Comments				



2.8

ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2029000

PAGE	14

(o)	Has Reliability access to all pertinent development and production	YES	NO
	data of monolithic microcircuit devices for analysis purposes? Comments		
(p)	Is reliability data available of monolithic microcircuit devices from the line(s) which the Manufacturer wishes to be approved? Comments		
(q)	Has Manufacturer an evaluation laboratory for determination of product characteristics?		
(r)	If Manufacturer has an evaluation laboratory:		
	- Does it operate according to an established programme? or		
	- According to special requests?		
(s)	Give examples of problems investigated by evaluation laboratory		
(t)	Are laboratory results available on request?		
(u)	Are data sheets based on these results?		
<u>CO</u>	NTROL OF PROCUREMENT SOURCES		
(a)	Has Manufacturer adequate written procedures for purchase control of materials, components and services?		
	Comments		
(b)	Has Manufacturer an effective vendor rating system? Comments		



PAGE 15

	(c)	Does rating system provide for effectiveness of written corrective actions received from Suppliers?	YES	NO ——
		Comments		
	(d)	Do purchase documents require delivery of test reports if such reports are specified in the relevant ESA contract? Comments		
	(e)	Is there a means of channelling information when specification changes require modification of current purchase orders?		
		Is "Receiving Inspection" notified of changes in purchase orders? Comments		********
2.9	<u>cc</u>	NTROL OF INCOMING MATERIALS (Performed in situ)		
	(a)	Are Manufacturer's written standard inspection procedures adequate for control of incoming materials and services received?		
		Do inspectors know how and when to apply these procedures? Comments		
	(b)	Are materials received in a controlled area from which removal prior to inspection is impossible? Comments		
	(c)	Are materials properly handled and protected during the receiving		
		process? Comments		
	(d)	Does Receiving Inspection use drawings and purchase orders? If so, do these documents show Quality Control review? Comments	·	
	(e)	Are test reports from Suppliers being reviewed? Comments		



PAGE	16
------	----

(f)	Are accepted materials adequately identified? Do documents show evidence of acceptance?	YES	NO
(-)	Comments		
(g)	Are rejected materials adequately identified and segregated? Comments		
(h)	Which materials are subject to limited shelf life limitations?		
	Comments		
(i)	Are shelf life and cure date materials properly identified and controlled? Comments		
(j)	Do records indicate traceability of units, lots and sublots to applicable documents (specification, revision letter - if any - and inspection record)? Comments		**********
(k)	Are materials stored in a controlled area under the responsibility of an authorised Custodian? Comments		
(1)	Are suitable inspections and tests, including physical and chemical tests, performed on raw materials? Comments		
(m)	Are such tests performed:		
	- In-house?		
	- At other locations? Comments		



PAGE 17

	(n)	Are storage containers, make him etc. edequate for two of material	YES	NO
	(11)	Are storage containers, racks, bins, etc. adequate for type of material stored?		
		Comments		
	(o)	Is lot traceability maintained?		
		Comments		
	(p)	Is "first in/first out" method applied?	*********	
2.10	INI-l	PROCESS INSPECTIONS AND TESTS		
	(a)	To whom does In-process Q.A. Inspection report?		
	(b)	Are inspection and/or operation travellers used sequential to performance and control of all operations and processes?		
		Comments		
	(c)	Do travellers refer to inspection procedures?		
		Do inspectors know how and when to use them? Comments		
	(d)	Do travellers refer to controlled specifications?		
		Do specifications show <u>current</u> revision status?		
		Comments		
	(e)	Does Q.A. have written in-process procedures to control acceptance of products?		<u></u>
		Comments		
	<i>(</i> 4)	le type and quantity of available inequation equipment edequate for time		
	(f)	Is type and quantity of available inspection equipment adequate for type of work being accomplished?		
		Comments		



PAGE	18
------	----

(a)	Are documentation and instruments used by inspectors subject to	YES	NO
(3)	calibration control?		
	Is calibration evident and up-to-date?		
	Comments		
(h)	Is there a specific material review procedure?		
	Comments		
(i)	Do in-process Q.A. inspectors summarise quality experience on the basis of specific process stages?	***************************************	
	Do they issue quality reports on a regular basis?		
	Do reports result in assistance and/or action?		
	Comments		
(j)	Are requests for corrective action issued in writing?		
u)	Are such requests answered?	***************************************	
	Does corrective action ensue?		
	Comments	<u> </u>	
	·		
(k)	Does Q.A. maintain any statistic controls (X&R, etc.) in the in-process area?		
	Are these controls up-to-date and at individual process stations?		
	Comments		
(1)	Is wafer identification maintained throughout processing?	·	
	Comments		
(Ara maaka ahaakad ariar ta uga?		
(m)	Are masks checked prior to use? Comments		**********
	Commonta		



AGL 13	Ά	GΕ	19
--------	---	----	----

(n)	Is metallisation adhesion verified? Comments	YES 	NO
(0)	Are wafers stored and transported in protective carriers? Comments		
(p)	Are dice inspected for physical damage following scribing? Comments		
(q)	Are dice selected by 100% electrical screening? Comments		
(r)	Do inspectors have adequate visual aids to establish reject criteria prior to encapsulation? Comments		
(s)	Are there documents describing in-process manufacturing procedures and controls? Comments		
(t)	Are there documents describing in-process inspections? Do inspectors know how and when to use them? Comments		
(u)	Are there specific standards for handling, cleanliness and care of materials, parts and equipment? Comments		844745 + 1 00° An



P	Αd	3	F	20
	, ,,	•	_	~~

	(v)	Are calibrations evidenced and up-to-date?	YES 	NO
	(w)	Has Q.A. authority to stop production flow in case of out-of-control conditions?		
		Is a written material review procedure in use?		
		Comments		
	(x)	Are records maintained of training and competence of operators for welding, soldering, radiography, radiflo and plating?		
		Comments		
	(y)	Are certified operators identifiable by means of a card or badge on their clothing?		
		Comments		
2.11	<u>SU</u>	RVEY OF MANUFACTURING LINE		
	Thi	s review shall be performed in 2 phases:-		
	(1)	Identification of the various steps listed in the flow chart to define the corand collect all relevant information.	responding	operations
	(2)	Actual line survey (indicate if inspection was performed).		
	lf d	lifferent technologies are applied, the inspection results shall be supplied on	separate sh	eets.
2.11.1	Ma	nufacturing Equipment		
	(a)	Are phases of manufacture carried out under controlled environmental conditions?		
	(b)	Detail phases and conditions.		
2.11.2	Fal	prication of Substrate		
	(a)	Is material procured from an outside source?		
	(b)	Are goods inwards inspections sufficient to determine acceptability of each procured wafer?	AND ADDRESS TO SERVICE	
		Comments		



4.3	A section and and in his sec	YES	NO
(C)	Are wafers produced in-house?		
(d)	Are adequate controls documented and maintained for wafer production?		
(=)	(1) Wafer slicing (thickness, flatness, parallelism)		
	(2) Wafer preparation (grinding, polishing, cleaning)		
	(3) Environmental conditions (RH, temperature, dust count).		
	Comments		
	Comments		
(e)	Are adequate controls documented and maintained on wafer testing?		
	(1) Visual inspection ("orange peel", pyramids, stacking faults, lineage, haze, mottle, cracks, dimples, nicks, pits, scratches, twin lineage, bumps, tweezer marks)		
	(2) Electrical tests (conductivity, resistivity)		
	(3) Structural tests (surface roughness, surface orientation, crystal perfection)		
	(4) Number of items inspected per lot		
	(5) Environmental conditions (RH, temperature, dust count)		
	(6) Disposition of rejects		
	Comments		
(f)	Are adequate instructions and procedures issued regarding substrate handling?		
	(1) Techniques and precautions to be exercised		
	(2) Records of substrate damage		**********
	(3) Remedial instructions for personnel effecting significant damage		
	(4) Control of static discharge		
	(5) Protection from contamination		
	(6) Segregation of damaged items		
	Comments		



PAGE 22

	(a)	Are adequate controls documented and maintained on wafer purity?	YES	NO
		(1) Resistivity (ohms/cc at +25°C)		
		(2) Solids content		
		(3) Organic impurities		
		(4) Frequency of checks		
		(5) Frequency of calibration of test equipment	**********	
		Comments		
2.11.3	Circ	uit Integration on Wafer		
		Are adequate controls documented and maintained on circuit integration techniques?		
		(1) Surface passivation (environmental control, substrate handling, layer thickness, flaw detection)		
		(2) Junction formation (furnace profiling, temperature, gas flow rate, dwell time)		
		(3) Patterning		
		(4) Metallisation (material, thickness)		
		(5) Formation of resistive elements		
		Comments		
		Do process control documents for circuit integration procedures specify the following parameters?		
		(1) Environmental conditions prevailing during processing	<u></u>	
		(2) Handling of substrate		
		(3) Lot identification		
		(4) Date of processing activity	******	
		(5) Number of items inspected		
		(6) Percentage defective		
		(7) Median value of parameters		
		(8) Range of parametric values		
		Comments		



2.11.4

ESA/SCC Basic Specification No. 2029000

PAGE 23

Pro	duction Control	YES	NO
(a)	Are adequate controls documented and maintained to ensure purity of materials used in production of circuits?		<u></u>
(b)	Are adequate controls documented and maintained on the following photoresist factors?		
	(1) Preparation (frequency, chemicals, method)		
	(2) Evaluation (specific gravity, viscosity, solids, residue, definition of line width, pinhole count)		
	(3) Storage conditions (temperature, type of container)		
	(4) Application (mounting of substrate, temperature control, rpm of spinner, acceleration, time of rotation)		
	(5) Baking (time, temperature)		
	(6) Exposure (contact pressure, light intensity, time)		*******
	(7) Development (time, temperature inspection, magnification, lighting, rejection criteria)	******	2000-20-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00
	(8) Environmental control (temperature, relative humidity, dust count, lighting)		
	Comments		
(c)	Are adequate controls documented and maintained on the following etching factors?		
	(1) Concentration (frequency of replacement)		
	(2) Temperature		
	(3) Time (etch rate of each passivation layer or metal)		
	(4) Method of drying		
	Comments		



PAGE 24

		YES	NO
(d)	Are the following controls and tolerances documented and maintained during the mask-making process?		
	(1) Environmental conditions during fabrication of mask (relative humidity, temperature, dust count)		
	(2) Geometry (width and length)		
	(3) Pinholes (density and size distribution)	personal distance	
	(4) Scratches		
	(5) Storage	-	
	(6) Edge raggedness		
	(7) Method of inspection		
	Comments		
(e)	What method is used for forming metallisation (check)?		
	(1) Vacuum chamber deposition		
	(2) Electron beam deposition		
	(3) Sputtering		
	(4) Other	************	
(6)	Describe Manager and section of the second section of the section		
(f)	Does the Manufacturer have the capability to satisfactorily perform metal adhesion tests?		
	Comments		
(~)	la a Cannina Flactura Misusanana aurilakta?	,	
(g)	Is a Scanning Electron Microscope available?		
	Comments		
(h)	Has the Manufacturer the documented procedures, equipment and		
	trained personnel to perform electrical tests on the metallised wafer to determine if the circuit meets parameter requirements?		
	If not, explain		



PΑ	G	Ε	25	

		YES	NO
(i)	What scribing and dicing method is used (check)?		
	(1) Diamond scribe		
	(2) Laser scribe		
	(3) Manual		
	(4) Sawing	****	
	(5) Other		
(j)	Are the following controls documented and maintained on the die-mounting operation?		
	(1) Temperature		
	(2) Time (visual observation of eutectic flow)		
	(3) Pressure (by hand)		
	(4) Ultrasonic power (when applicable)		
	(5) Cleanliness		
	(6) Ambient (surrounding atmosphere) (at room temperature)		
	Comments		
(k)	Is the die mount strength test adequately documented?		
	Comments		
40			
(1)	What type of lead-bonding is used in production?		
	Comments		
(m)	Are the following controls documented on the lead-bonding operation?		
	(1) Temperature (not applicable to ultrasonic bonding)		
	(2) Pressure		
	(3) Time (dwell time)		
	(4) Condition of capillary or electrode control	<u></u>	
	(5) Ultrasonic power (when applicable)		
	(6) Ambient (surrounding atmosphere)		
	Comments		



PAGE	26	
ISSUE	1	

(n)	Is the strength of lead bonds verified? How?	YES 	NO ——
(0)	Are devices cleaned prior to sealing? Is 100% inspection performed on cleanliness? Comments		
(p)	Are devices stored and transported in protective carriers following cleaning operation? Comments		
(q)	What type of internal visual inspection is performed?		
(r)	Are rejected parts placed in containers for rejected parts?		
(s)	Are rejected parts identified as rejects? How?		
(t)	What final disposition is made of rejected parts?		
(u)	What type of seals are used in the sealing of packages? Comments		
(v)	Are the following controls, when applicable, documented on the sealing operation?		
	(1) Pre-seal bake (time, temperature, ambient)		
	(2) Heat (or power) used to produce the seal		
	(3) Humidity during sealing (specify moisture content in ppm)		
	(4) Flow rate of gases	*************	
	(5) Welding controls (pressure power, time)		
	Comments		



PAGE 27

2.11.5	Final Test Area and Screening Facility	YES	NO
	(a) Are they separate operations?		Account Africa
	(b) Are final production tests (see ESA/SCC specification) perfor production personnel under Q.A. monitoring?OR	med by	
	Are they performed by Q.A. personnel? Comments		
	(c) Does the final test have written inspection and test procedure product classes on the line?Do inspectors know when and how to use them?Comments	es for	
	(d) Do inspectors use assigned stamp to indicate inspection state material and accompanying documents? Comments	us on 	
	(e) Are requests for corrective action made in writing?Are such requests answered?Comments		
	(f) Are rejected devices identified and segregated in a controlled Comments	l area?	



PA	GE	28

		YES	NO
(g)	Are records maintained of accepted and rejected material and are they identifiable with the material they represent?		
	Comments		
(h)	Are device failures analysed?		
	Are device failure analyses summarised and reported by final Q.A.?		
	Comments		
<i>(</i> :\			
(i)	Is a summary inspection and test report sent regular to Quality Management (lot acceptance, percentage of defects, types of failure)?		
	Do these summary reports result in actions to decrease problem areas?		
	Comments		
(j)	Is a testing laboratory or equivalent facility available for quality assurance purposes?		
	Which of the following tests are performed in the laboratory or facility?	mangangangang ga	
	(1) Electrical tests		
	(2) Mechanical tests		
	(3) Chemical tests	-	
	Comments		
(k)	Is there automatic equipment for the electrical testing of monolithic microcircuit devices?		
	Go-no-go?		
	D.C. and A.C. test?		
	Comments		



ΡΑ	G	E	29
----	---	---	----

<i>(</i> 1)	And the state of t	YES	NO
(l)	Are statistical controls of device parameter distribution maintained?		
	Are they reported to Q.A. or Reliability?		
	Comments		
(m)	Is an environmental test facility maintained in-house?		
	If not, state where:		
	Are the following tests performed at this facility?		
	(1) Temperature (high, low, cycle)		
	(2) Shock (mechanical, thermal)		
	(3) Acceleration		
	(4) Vibration (fixed, variable)		
	(5) Moisture resistance		•
	(6) Altitude		
	(7) Radiographic	***********	
	(8) Hermeticity tests		
	(a) Fine leak, if applicable		
	(b) Gross leak or penetrant dye		<u></u>

	(9) Lead fatigue(10) Life tests - operating	<u>.</u>	
	(10) Life tests - operating		
	Comments		
(n)	Is available equipment used:		
	- For production?		
	- In R&D?		
	- For Quality Control on a sample basis?		
	- For screening?		
(o)	Are charts provided for the monitoring of environmental test equipment?		
	Commonte		



PAGE 30

(p)	Is test equipment adequate for fulfilment of specification requirements? Comments	YES 	NO —
(q)	Is final external visual inspection performed on 100% of the devices? Comments		
(r)	Are devices stored in a limited access area? Comments		
(s)	Are devices adequately identified to Customer requirements? Comments		
(t)	Are there provisions for lot identification? Comments		
(u)	How many burn-in positions are available: - At room ambient temperature?		
	- At specified ambient temperature?		
	- At specified case temperature (cooled hot plate)?		
(v)	Does burn-in require soldering of leads? Comments	*******	



PAGE 31

ISSUE 1

YES NO (w) What precautions are taken to maintain solderability of leads after burn-in? Comments (x) How does Manufacturer ensure that failed devices are separated from processed lots of: SCC Level 'B' SCC Level 'C' (y) Has Manufacturer all test equipment necessary to perform all qualification tests: In-house? In nearby facility? Specify equipment and its location: In remote location Specify equipment and its location: 2.12 **FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT** (a) Is facility adequately lighted? Ventilated? Temperature-controlled? **Dust-controlled?** Comments (b) Is good housekeeping being practiced? Comments



PAGE 3	32
--------	----

(c)	Does vendor have own mask-making facility? Comments	YES ——	NO ——
(d)	Are mask-making operations performed in an ultra-clean room?		
(e)	Are photo-engraving (photoresist exposure) operations performed in an ultra-clean room? Comments		*********
(f)	If answers to (d) and (e) above are "yes", to what clean room specifications?		
(g)	Are particle counts taken and recorded regularly? Comments		
(h)	Are the following operations performed in a 100 count environment without moving the devices to an environment with a high contamination level?		
	(1) Final assembly		
	(2) Internal visual inspection		
	(3) Cleaning	1-0-1-0-1-0-T-	-
	(4) Sealing		
(i)	How often are air-filters checked and changed?		
(j)	How often is the contamination level of the 10 000 count environment checked?		
(k)	How often is the contamination level of the 100 count environment checked?		
(1)	Is a log kept which shows when contamination levels are checked?	***********	



PAGE 33

			YES	NO
	(m)) Is authority granted to cease production when contamination level is exceeded?		
	(n)	Personnel in 100 count environment:		
		(1) What deviations from regulations and/or requirements related to this environment were noted with regard to:-		
		(a) Gowns and/or smocks and trousers		
		(b) Caps		
		(c) Overshoes		
		(d) Finger cots		
		(2) Was any lint-producing material (wool, knitted garments, etc.) noticed under protective clothing?		
	(o)	Are components and tools cleaned to written procedures?		
		Are these procedures based on probable contaminants?		
	(p)	Are clean room procedures and discipline specified in respect of clothing, access, food consumption, allowable materials, cosmetics, etc.?		
	(q)	Are temporary storage space and products finished in the area suitably protected to maintain cleanliness level?	***********	
2.13	PR	ESERVATION, PACKING AND SHIPPING		
	(a)	Are there adequate written procedures for control of shipping?		
		Comments		
	(b)	Are materials designated for shipment properly identified, handled and protected?		
		Comments		
	(c)	Do copies of Customer's purchase order and evidence of inspection acceptance accompany materials from end of final test up to the time of shipment?		
		Comments		



PAGE	34	

(d)	Do Q.A. personnel perform audits of all outgoing lots? Comments	YES 	NO
(e)	Do shipping documents reflect inspection status or evidence of inspection, identification and similar shipping requirements? Comments		
(f)	Does Manufacturer verify conformity of devices and invoices with purchase order? Comments		
(g)	Does Manufacturer implement special packaging methods for hi-rel devices? If so, which of following methods is used?		<u></u>
	- Individual packages		
	- Mechanical protection		
	- Environmental protection		
	- Special warning labels		
(h)	Is shipping method designed to allow official inspection by Customs without actual removal of protective material? Comments		
(i)	Do instructions prohibit the use of substandard packaging methods for shipment of hi-rel devices?	parameter and a	



PAGE 35

ISSUE 1

2.14 <u>SUMMARY OF INSPECTION RESULTS</u>

Indicate inspection results	per	manufacturing	and	testing	area,	whereby	y:
-----------------------------	-----	---------------	-----	---------	-------	---------	----

V = Adequate.

O = Insufficient.

– Not checked.

N/A = Not applicable.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Environmental conditions:

Cleanliness

Temperature control

Humidity control

Occupancy

Procedures available:

Travellers

Calibration

Segregation of rejects

Inspection evidence

Area No.

1 =

2 =

3 =

4 =

5 =

6 =

7 =

0 –

9 =

10 =



PAGE 36

ISSUE 1

2.15 <u>GENERAL OBSERVATIONS</u> (Not to exceed 2 pages)