# **PSI ESA QCA/CNES 2009** 27/01/09

### PMOS dosimeters/TRAD DOSIMETER (TSD) & Methods for Dose Calculations\*.

Françoise BEZERRA, Robert ECOFFET, Éric LORFEVRE

Anna CANALS, Olivier RONY, Pierre-François PEYRARD, Christian CHATRY

TRAD

Tests & radiations

**CNES** 



\* under CNES contract

www.trad.fr

# **Content of the presentation**

The PMOS sensors on CARMEN2/MEX experiment Test results for total dose and protons Application to CARMEN2 embedded sensors

Evaluation of dose calculation methods for 2 different environments JASON2 GALILEO

Comparisons Calculation/ in flight data



### 1) TSD-TRAD Space Dosimeter

Radiation evaluation results & application to CARMEN2/MEX embedded dosimeter





N° QUAL/2005/24529a





<u>www.trad.fr</u>





#### **TRAD SPACE DOSIMETER:** A MOSFET DOSIMETER



#### **TRAD SPACE DOSIMETER:** A MOSFET DOSIMETER



### TSD: DOSE RESPONSE Co60 AND PROTON (60MeV)



### DOSE RESPONSE Co60 AND PROTON (60MeV)



Tests & radiations

### Comparison with in flight data Experimental data

Difference between Proton &	Cobalt sensitivity at 1 krad:
Difference between Floton &	Cobait Selisitivity at 1 kiau.

HS Configuration:	SCo60 = 20mV/rad	
	Sproton = $12mV/rad$	40%
LS Configuration:	SCo60 = 1.06mV/rad	
	Sproton = 1.19mV/rad	10%

Carmen 2 profile : dose contribution > 90 % Protons

Using the calibration curves to determine the mission dose rate.

HS Configuration: 1st month = 208 rad

TTO 0

LS Configuration: 1st month = 192 rad  $\rightarrow$  7% between the 2 configurations



# 2) Methods for Dose Calculations

### for JASON 2 & GALILEO environment RADIATION ANALYSIS OF CARMEN





N° QUAL/2005/24529a

# **Presentation content :**

- Analysis objectives
- 3D radiation model
- Dose calculation results in a JASON 2 environment
  - Environment description
  - Calculation results
  - Comments
  - Conclusion

### • Dose calculation results in a GALILEO environment

- Environment description
- Calculation results
- Comments
- Conclusion



**First objective :** compare the measured dose received by the CARMEN module of JASON 2 satellite with the dose calculated by simulation.

**Second objective :** compare the results of dose calculation in different configurations (different type of 3D radiation models, environments and calculation algorithms)

**Concerning environments, we will present you :** 

- 1. Results in JASON 2 environment (protons)
- 2. And then results in an electronic environment : GALILEO



### • JASON 2 model :

Modelling of CARMEN neighbouring equipments with equivalent boxes (in term of dimensions and weight) :





### • CARMEN radiation model :

It has been made with :

- IGES file provided by EREMS (including structure and boards)
- Board descriptions (plans and list of components)
- A simplified radiation model (conform to NOVICE) using simple geometrical forms has also been built according to IGES model





Re-constructed model of CARMEN





Each component package has been modeled precisely, with respect to dimensions and materials. In all, 377 components have been placed in the model.



### • CARMEN radiation model

**Doses have been calculated for 4 different components :** 

- RADFET2 T1
- RADFET2 T2
- SFH425 D5
- OSL



<u>View of the OSL part : the « SFH425-D5 » detector is placed at the</u> <u>center of a Gallium-Arsenide die (in green) and the « OSL » detector at</u> <u>the center of the Strontium-Sulfide layer (in pink)</u>



<u>Sectional view of RADFETs</u> (the detector has been placed at the center of the oxyde layer in red)



**Environment description (according to specifications)** 

#### 1. Orbit :

•

- altitude : 1336 km
- inclination angle : 66°
- 2. Mission duration : 5 years
- **3. Trapped particle model used for calculations : AE8max et AP8min**
- => A proton environment

(Solid and shell dose depth curve have been calculated with NOVICE software)



#### Calculation results

Dose calculations have been performed for different configurations :

- **1.** Two types of equipment model : IGES or re-constructed (for radiation)
- 2. Two types of satellite model
  - a realistic satellite model

- a satellite cube, whose the 6-direction thicknesses have been determined with the 6 faces technique.

- **3.** Different calculation methods :
  - sector analysis (RT : Ray-Tracing)
  - Reverse Monte Carlo (RMC)
- 4. For sector analysis, different methods :
  - « slant » calculation with solid dose depth curve
  - « minimum path » calculation with shell dose depth curve
- **5.** Two « minimum path » calculation algorithms have been tested :
  - weighting : averaging of « normal » calculated thicknesses
  - overlapping detection.



#### **Calculation results :**

### **Summary of calculation methods /Abbreviations :**

- **RTS** : Ray-Tracing, « slant » method, solid sphere
- RTN : Ray-Tracing, « minimum path » method, shell sphere
- **RTNW** : Ray-Tracing, weighted « minimum path » method, shell sphere

- **RTNW** + **overlapping** : Ray-Tracing, weighted « minimum path » method, shell sphere, with overlapping detection

- RMC : Reverse Monte Carlo



Calculation results :		R	ealistic sate	ellite mod	lel	Satellite cube			
		Simplified equipment		IGES equipment		Simplified equipment		IGES equipment	
		Results	Calculation	Results	Calculation	Results	Calculation	Results	Calculation
		krad(Si)	duration	krad(Si)	duration	krad(Si)	duration	krad(Si)	duration
		10.04	1 min 45 sec	-	-	12.22			-
	RTS	10.02				12.09	1 min 30 sec	-	
	KIS	10.41				12.35	1 11111 50 300		
		10.31				12.23			
		13.46		-		15.30			
	RTN	13.35	1 min 40 sec		_	15.20	1 min 25 sec	-	-
	min	13.69				15.54			
Z		13.68				15.42			
	DMG	10.11		-	-	12.36		-	-
	RMC	10.09	6 h 20 min			12.14	4 h 10 min		
	Reference	10.35				12.48			
		10.33				12.45			
		10	- 14 sec	9.7	35 sec	12.2	- 9 sec	11.6	40 sec
	RTS	10		9.7		12.1		11.5	
		10.4		10		12.3		11.9	
		10.3		10		12.3		11.7	
		13.4	1 min 10 sec	12.9		15.3		14.6	5 min 10
	RTN	13.4		12.9	2 min 45 sec	15.2	1 min 40 sec	14.5	
		13.8		13.2		15.3	-	14.3	
		13.7		12.9	3 min	15.4	36 sec	14.0	1 min 45
		13.4		12.9		15.2		14.5	
EA	RTNW	13.4	1 min 5 sec	13.2		15.6		14.5	
		13.0		13.2		15.5		14.7	
		13.5		12.9		15.3		14.5	
	RTNW +	13.4	-	12.9	3 min 20 and	15.2	20	14.5	1 min 30
	overlapping	13.8	Thun 15 sec	13.2	5 mm 20 sec	15.6	39 800	14.6	
		13.7		13.2		15.5		14.7	

Deposited dose calculation results are given for the 4 detectors : RADFET2-T1, RADFET2-T2, SFH425-D5 and OSL.

Here is the satellite cube determined with a 6 face analysis :

Avia aquinment	Shielding Thickness				
Axis equipment	(Aluminum mm)				
+Xe	1,3				
-Xe	3,8				
+Ye	1,4				
-Ye	1,5				
+Ze	2				
-Ze	5,3				





#### • Comments :

1. RTS method provides almost the same results than RMC method. The main reason is that more than 95% of deposited dose is due to protons that propagate in a straight line (approximation).

2. RTN method overestimates deposited dose for this environment.

3. The simplification of the satellite structure and of CARMEN model leads to an increase of the calculated deposited dose (respectively about 20% and 3%).

4. Performing calculations with IGES model increases calculation duration by a factor 2 or 3 ( but only 5 minutes max !). But, using IGES model is still time-saving because make radiation model from CAD model can take several days.



#### • Conclusion :

**1. Comparison between measured datas and calculated datas :** 

- Measured dose received by CARMEN : ~ 200 rad/month

=> ~ 12 krad during the total JASON 2 mission (5 years)

- Calculated dose by simulation ~ 10 krad (RTS and RMC results) in a AE8max and AP8min trapped particle model

Datas measured by ICARE on JASON 2 seem to show a proton spectrum which is 20% higher than AP8min.

<u>Conclusion</u> : measured dose and calculated dose by simulation are corresponding : 12 krad = 10 krad + 20% As a reminder : in the JASON 2 environment used for calculations (AE8max and AP8min trapped particle model), more than 95 % of the calculated dose was due to protons.

2. **FASTRAD** sector analysis algorithms for deposited dose calculation give similar results than NOVICE algorithms.



• Environment description (according to specifications)

#### 1. Orbit :

- altitude : 23222 km
- inclination angle : 56°
- 2. Mission duration : 12 years

**3. Trapped particle model used for calculations : AE8min and AP8min** 

=> An electronic environment

(Solid and shell dose depth curve have been calculated with NOVICE software)



Calculation results :		Realistic satellite model				Satellite cube			
		Simplified equipment		IGES equipment		Simplified equipment		IGES equipment	
		Results	Calculation	Results	Calculation	Results	Calculation	Results	Calculation
		krad(Si)	duration	krad(Si)	duration	krad(Si)	duration	krad(Si)	duration
	RTS	6.56	1 min 45 sec	-	-	14	1 min 30 sec	-	-
		6.81				12			
		7.96				12.6			
E E		6.26				8.16			
		9.24				12.4			-
	DTN	8.88	1 min 45 coo			11.9	1 min 30 sec		
	KIN	9.64	1 mm 45 sec	-	-	12.1		-	
		10.7				13.7			
		7.04		. <u> </u>	-	9.66		-	-
	RMC Reference	7.07	6 hours 30 min			8.77	6 hours		
		10.55				13.53			
		8.14				10.29			
	RTS	6.5	15 sec	6.3	50 sec	13.9	25 sec	11.9	35 sec
		6.7		6.4		11.9		10.2	
		7.9		7.6		12.5		11.5	
		6.2		6.1		8.1		7.7	
	RTN	9.5	3 min 20 sec	8.7	6 min	12.3		11.2	4 min 45 sec
		9.1		8.5		11.7	2 min 40 sec	10.7	
		8.9		8.1		10.8		9.4	
		10.5		9.6		12.5		10.6	
	RTNW RTNW +	9.2		8.4	1 min 50 sec	12.3	1 min 10 sec	10.7	1 min 45 sec 2 min 45 sec
		8.8	1 min 10 sec	8.3		11.8		10.2	
		9.5		8.7		11.9		10.2	
		10.6		9.5		13.6		11.2	
		9.2		8.4	2 min 15 sec	12.3		10.7	
		8.8		8.3		11.8		10.2	
	overlapping	9.5		8.7		11.9		10.3	
		10.6		9.5		13.6		11.2	

Deposited dose calculation results are given for the 4 detectors : RADFET2-T1, RADFET2-T2, SFH425-D5 and OSL.

Here is the satellite cube determined with a 6 face analysis :

	Axis equipment	Shielding Thickness (Aluminum mm)
1	+Xe	1,2
	-Xe	3
	+Ye	1,6
	-Ye	1,6
	+Ze	1,9
	-Ze	3,1





#### • Comments

1. For calculations in a realistic satellite model, calculated doses tend to be :

- underestimated by the the « slant » method
- overestimated by the « normal » method

2. The simplification of the satellite structure leads to an increase of calculated dose :

- about +30% using Reverse Monte Carlo and « normal » methods
- +30 to +110% using « slant » method

**3.** The simplification of CARMEN equipment leads to an increase of calculated dose of 2 to 15% according to the selected detector.

4. Performing calculations with IGES model still increases calculation duration by a factor 2 or 3.



#### • Conclusion :

1. The reference calculated dose (Reverse Monte Carlo) is more difficult to approach (by sector analysis) in an electronic environment than in a proton environment. <u>Cause :</u> the type of propagation of protons (straight lines) is closer from the sector analysis principle than the type of propagation of electrons (more irregular).

2. **FASTRAD** sector analysis algorithms for deposited dose calculation give similar results than NOVICE algorithms in electronic environment.



### Conclusion

- Radiation evaluation of TSD :
- 1. Completed on various lots including CARMEN2/MEX flight lot
- 2. Depending on the biasing mode, there is a difference between electron and proton dose response.
- 3. Still working on a model to predict combined e- & p+ degradation.
- FASTRAD tool evaluation :
- **FASTRAD** sector analysis algorithms for deposited dose calculation give similar results than NOVICE algorithms in electronic environment.
- Comparison In flight data & prediction :

Very good agreement.

