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Abstract—The impact of 60 MeV proton irradiation on the static device parameters of CMOS transistors fabricated in a 0.18 \(\mu\)m technology is reported and studied as a function of the polycrystalline gate length \(L_{\text{polycrystalline}}\). In addition, the role of the gate dielectric in the radiation response of the threshold voltage, the transconductance, the subthreshold swing, the series resistance and the Gate-Induced Drain Leakage (GIDL) current is investigated. For certain parameters, an anomalous length dependence has been observed. Furthermore, a stronger degradation is found for the transistors with an NO-annealed gate dielectric compared with a standard thermal gate oxide. Combining the charge separation technique with the GIDL current, additional insight in the damage mechanisms is gained. It is shown that there is evidence for electron trapping close to the drain in the case of the NO devices.

Index Terms—MOSFETs, protons, radiation effects, radiation hardening.

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the roadblocks on the way to scaling CMOS is the gate oxide thickness, reaching the tunneling limit for next generations of technologies. Ultimately, the gate oxide will have to be replaced by a high-\(k\) dielectric, but as an intermediate step, nitrided or reoxidised nitrided oxides can be useful. The use of nitrided oxides brings along certain advantages with respect to gate oxide reliability and boron penetration. On the other hand, it is known that the presence of N atoms close to the interface introduces fixed oxide charges, which reduce the mobility and transconductance at low to intermediate gate voltage \(V_{\text{GS}}\) [1]-[3]. It has also been reported that a higher radiation tolerance can be achieved under certain gate processing conditions [2], although this has not been verified thoroughly for ultra-thin gate oxides.

From a radiation damage viewpoint, scaling offers only but advantages at first sight (see [4] and References therein). This is tightly connected to the fact that below the tunneling limit, essentially no holes are permanently trapped in a thin oxide \((t_{\text{ox}}<6 \text{ nm})\) [5], while at the same time, it has been observed that the radiation-induced density of interface traps \(\Delta N_{\text{it}}\) reduces according to a power law with \(t_{\text{ox}}\) [6]. However, for higher radiation doses/fluences some new degradation phenomena have been observed in ultra-thin gate dielectrics, which are a potential source of concern [4],[7],[8]. In addition, it has recently been observed that radiation-induced dopant deactivation in the silicon substrate may contribute to the change of the device parameters [9]-[11]. From high-energy particle irradiation studies of shallow \(n^+\)p junctions fabricated in a B-doped p-well, it was concluded that displacement damage plays a direct role in the dopant deactivation [12],[13]. As the near surface doping density is critical for the control of the short-channel effects, one can imagine that MOSFETs suffer from such a degradation mechanism.

It is the aim of this paper to investigate the impact of 60 MeV proton irradiations on the behaviour of deep submicron MOSFETs fabricated in a 0.18 \(\mu\)m CMOS technology. The device parameters: the threshold voltage \(V_{\text{T}}\), the transconductance \(g_{\text{m}}\), the subthreshold swing \(S\) and the series resistance \(R\), will be studied as a function of the device length. Devices with an NO-annealed oxide will be compared with standard thermal oxide gates. Evidence is given for the creation of both oxide and interface charges after the proton irradiation, whereby the NO-annealed devices show a stronger degradation.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

The transistors have been fabricated in a 0.18 \(\mu\)m CMOS technology using a Polysilicon Encapsulated Local Oxidation of Silicon (PELOX) isolation scheme. Standard thermal oxide MOSFETs (abbreviated OX) received a wet oxidation at 650 °C, while the NO devices were first dry oxidised at 850 °C, followed by a 30 min NO anneal at the same temperature. In both cases the gate dielectric thickness was 3.5 nm. For the standard thermal oxide transistors, a boron implantation was used for the fabrication of the source-drain junctions of the p-MOSFETs. Devices with an NO-annealed oxide will be compared with standard thermal oxide gates. Evidence is given for the creation of both oxide and interface charges after the proton irradiation, whereby the NO-annealed devices show a stronger degradation.
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nitrogen has a tremendous impact on $D_o$ of the p-channel devices (and, hence, on the LF noise magnitude), while the interface properties before irradiation are far less affected. The higher noise for the NO p-MOSFETs can be explained by the fact that this parameter is particularly sensitive to the presence of nitrogen-related near interface oxide traps [14]. It should be remarked that the data quoted in Table II have been derived on several devices and should be considered as averages for the process split.

**TABLE I**

TECHNOCAL PARAMETERS OF THE 0.18 $\mu$m CMOS TECHNOLOGY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process step</th>
<th>Process parameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gate oxide thickness</td>
<td>3.5 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gate oxidation OX</td>
<td>wet at 650°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gate oxidation NO</td>
<td>dry at 850°C+NO at 850°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolation</td>
<td>PELOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness field oxide</td>
<td>400 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-well implantation</td>
<td>200 &amp; 55 keV B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-well implantation</td>
<td>380 (P) &amp; 120 keV (As)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitride spacer</td>
<td>80 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicidation</td>
<td>Ti/Cu (8/15 nm)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transistors with a polysilicon (poly) gate length ($L_{poly}$) from 0.18 $\mu$m till 0.48 $\mu$m have been mounted in 24 pins dual-inline packages for the proton irradiations. The gate width $W$ was 10 $\mu$m. Unbiased 60 MeV proton irradiations were performed at the Cyclone cyclotron facility (Louvain-la-Neuve) for two fluences typical for space applications, i.e., $3\times10^{10}$ and $10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$. The latter fluence corresponds to an equivalent total dose of about 13.5 krad(Si). The contacts were left floating during the irradiation. Experience has learnt that for deep submicron technologies, this leads to the highest degradation (worst-case scenario). Testing was performed within 24 h after the exposure.

More details about the pre- and post irradiation device characterisation can be found in Ref. 15. Here, the focus is on a comparison of the behaviour of NO and OX components under a 60 MeV proton irradiation. For the first time, Gate-Induced Drain Leakage (GIDL) current data are reported on these devices. The effective device length and series resistance have been extracted using a modified Shift and Ratio (S&R) method [16], whereby the linear input curve of a long reference device, i.e., $L=5$ $\mu$m is combined with the one obtained for shorter lengths.

**III. RESULTS**

A. Threshold Voltage

As reported before [15], the OX n-MOSFETs show a kind of cross-over behaviour with $L_{poly}$, whereby the threshold voltage $V_T$ becomes lower after proton irradiation for the long channels - which is normally expected for an n-MOSFET - while for the shortest device lengths just the opposite is observed in Fig. 1a and 1b. In contrast, for the NO counterparts the $V_T$ decreases for all lengths both after the $3\times10^{10}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Fig. 2a) and the $10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Fig. 2b) irradiation. The change in the threshold voltage is explicitly shown in Fig. 3a (OX) and 3b (NO).

As can be derived from Figs 2 and 3, the overall $V_T$ shift is quite small, which is expected for deep submicron transistors [17]. In this respect, it should be remarked that the measurement accuracy is expected better than 1 %. For the NO devices, the $V_T$ reduction increases monotonously with decreasing length, suggesting more net positive charge trap-
Fig. 2a. Threshold voltage versus poly gate length for NO n-MOSFETs, before and after a $3 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-2}$ $60$ MeV proton irradiation.

Fig. 2b. Threshold voltage versus poly gate length for NO n-MOSFETs, before and after a $10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$ $60$ MeV proton irradiation.

Fig. 3a. Threshold voltage change after a $60$ MeV proton irradiation for arrays of OX n-MOSFETs.

Fig. 3b. Threshold voltage change after a $60$ MeV proton irradiation for arrays of NO n-MOSFETs.

ping – if that is the degradation mechanism at stake. For the OX devices, the cross-over behaviour indicates a complex interplay between charge trapping in the oxide, interface-state creation and possible substrate contributions [15]. In other words, it is believed that besides degradation of the gate and spacer dielectrics, there exists a contribution from $60$ MeV proton displacement damage in the substrate. The latter can lead to a change in the channel doping density and profile. The analysis of such an effect is, however, complicated by the fact that the lateral and vertical doping profile is largely non-uniform, owing to the application of Lowly-Doped Drain (LDD) implantations and a retrograde well, respectively. The present results confirm what has been previously reported on similar devices coming from different wafer splits of the same technology [15]. Moreover, similar trends have recently been derived for devices fabricated in IMEC’s $0.13 \mu m$ CMOS technology, after biased $60$ MeV proton irradiations.

The fluence ($F$) dependence of the $V_T$ changes is rather anomalous, as there appears to be a kind of rebound behaviour. This is best noted for the NO devices shown in Fig. 3b, where the $\Delta V_T$ is smaller for the $10^{11}$ fluence compared with $3 \times 10^{10}$ p/cm$^2$. The NO devices exhibit a tendency for more negative $V_T$ shift for the larger fluence, especially for the shorter channel lengths (Fig. 3a). Generally speaking, the threshold voltage degradation of the NO and OX n-MOSFETs becomes more similar after the higher fluence proton exposure. It should finally be remarked that the $V_T$ degradation of the p-MOSFETs is within the range $\pm 2$ mV, for both fluences studied. Furthermore, no clear trends with $L_{\text{poly}}$ have been found [18]. Therefore, the remainder of the paper will focus on the degradation of the n-channel transistors.

### B. Transconductance

Figure 4 compares the transconductance $g_m$ before irradiation for a $L_{\text{poly}}=0.18 \mu m$ n-MOSFET with OX and NO gate. As expected [1]-[3], the peak or maximum $g_m$ is lower for the NO device, which is believed to be related to additional trapped charges in the oxide, close to the interface. This is in line with the $D_{\text{ox}}$ data of Table IIb. Furthermore, the decrease in peak $g_m$ is more pronounced for shorter channels.
On the other hand, at high gate overdrives \((V_{GS}-V_T)\), a cross-over occurs, indicated in Fig. 4, whereby the \(g_m\) for the NO transistor becomes higher. In other words, the degradation of \(g_m\) with increasing vertical field is less in the NO case. According to the literature [1],[3], this behaviour can be explained by considering the effect of trapped electrons in the oxide, which repel the channel electrons, pushing them from the Si-SiO\(_2\) interface. In this way, surface roughness scattering, dominant at high vertical fields, is less prominent in NO compared with OX devices.

After proton irradiation, it is noted by comparing Fig. 4 with Fig. 5a (3\(\times\)10\(^{10}\) p/cm\(^2\)) or Fig. 5b (10\(^{11}\) p/cm\(^2\)) that the maximum transconductance has become smaller. This is illustrated more explicitly in Fig. 6a and Fig. 6b, showing \(g_{m\max}\) versus the inverse poly length, before and after exposure to a 60 MeV proton fluence of 10\(^{11}\) cm\(^{-2}\). The peak transconductance reduces with 3 to 13 % (OX) and with 1 to 9 % (NO) going from \(L_{\text{poly}}\) = 0.48 \(\mu\)m to 0.18 \(\mu\)m. In other words, the degradation of \(g_m\) is less for the NO case compared with OX devices, both in absolute and relative value and shows, furthermore, a short-channel effect, whereby more severe damage is observed for the shorter transistors. In other words, the degradation becomes higher for shorter \(L\)’s, while marginal changes are found for the long channel n-MOSFETs. From the high field behaviour of Fig. 5b, one may come to the conclusion that also there, NO suffers less severe degradation. At the same time, the \(g_m\) cross-over behaviour is shifted somewhat to higher \(V_{GS}\) if Fig. 4 is compared with Fig. 5a or Fig. 5b. There seems to be a slight return of the cross-over point for the 10\(^{11}\) p/cm\(^2\) fluence compared with the 3\(\times\)10\(^{10}\) p/cm\(^2\) case. This behaviour cannot be explained by the radiation-induced shift in the threshold voltage, as \(\Delta V_T\) is much smaller. A possible explanation could be that due to the trapped oxide/interface charge, the impact of surface roughness scattering is delayed to higher gate overdrives. Another important factor is the series resistance \(R_s\), which increases more for the NO transistors, as will be seen below.
C. Subthreshold Swing

Interestingly, the subthreshold swing $S$ also shows a kind of proton-radiation induced cross-over behaviour, as can be derived from Figs 7a (OX) and 7b (NO). Before exposure, $S$ shows the classical short-channel increase with reducing length. It is slightly lower for OX compared with NO, which reflects the lower $D_{it}$ of Table IIb. After 60 MeV protons and for both fluences, an increase of $S$ is observed for OX devices, whereby $D_{it}$ is more pronounced for the longer transistors. On the other hand, a reduction of $S$ is found for the long NO n-MOSFETs, while the opposite is seen for the shortest devices. The cross-over occurs at $L_{\text{poly}} \sim 0.22 \, \mu m$ for both fluences studied.

D. Series Resistance

Finally, from Fig. 8a and Fig. 8b, one can derive that the effective device length increases after proton irradiation. In addition, the series resistance $R_s$ shown in Figs 9a and 9b becomes also higher. This increase is more pronounced for the NO devices. For the extraction a 5 $\mu m$ ‘long channel reference’ has been used [18].

---

Fig. 6.b. Maximum transconductance versus inverse effective length for NO n-MOSFETs, after a $10^{11} \, \text{cm}^{-2}$ 60 MeV proton irradiation.

Fig. 7.b Subthreshold swing versus $L_{\text{poly}}$ before and after a $10^{11} \, \text{cm}^{-2}$ 60 MeV proton irradiation, corresponding with the NO split.

Fig. 8.a. Effective length versus poly length for OX n-MOSFETs before and after a $10^{11} \, \text{cm}^{-2}$ 60 MeV proton irradiation.

Fig. 8.b. Effective length versus poly length for NO n-MOSFETs before and after a $10^{11} \, \text{cm}^{-2}$ 60 MeV proton irradiation.

At first sight, it is difficult to understand this different $R_s$ behaviour for NO and OX n-MOSFETs, as they have identical spacers. One possible explanation, lending more credit to the idea of the creation of displacement damage in the substrate is that the lateral doping profiles are affected in different ways. Note that before irradiation, the series resistance is already...
different for the two splits. This could for example be related to the retrograde p-well and its interaction with the gate dielectric. While the NO oxide will retain the boron atoms in the surface, a more pronounced segregation could occur into the thermal oxide. This results then in a different vertical and lateral doping profile and, hence, series resistance. As a consequence, the interaction with the Frenkel pairs created by the high-energy protons will also be different in the two cases.

it is mainly the slope of the GIDL curve which lowers after irradiation, there is also an unexpected shift to positive $V_{GS}$ for NO. This would imply a net negative radiation-induced charge from oxide and interface traps, close to the drain. The latter fact is in contrast with the results from the normal input characteristics (see Table III for example). More work is needed to understand this inconsistency. From Fig. 10b, one can derive that for the normal operation ($V_{GS}>-0.5$ V) there is indeed a shift of the drain current to lower $V_{GS}$, in line with the $V_T$ results. This is, however, not found for the 0.18 $\mu$m device. Note also the steeper subthreshold slope after irradiation for NO, while NO shows a reduction in the slope (Fig. 10a or 11a), pointing to the creation of interface traps close to the conduction band in the latter case.

In all devices studied, a (slight) reduction of the GIDL slope is observed in Figs 10 and 11. This indicates the creation of interface traps close to the valence band. A rebound of the GIDL curves is seen in addition, which confirms the rebound in $V_T$ going from $3\times10^{10}$ to $10^{11}$ p/cm$^2$. It is clear from these initial studies that the charge trapping in the NO oxides is more complex than expected and may show strong lateral non-uniformities.

\section*{E. GIDL Characteristics}

Remains the issue of the uniformity of the damage along the channel, which has been raised in the foregoing. Unfortunately, the used test structures are not optimal for charge-pumping measurements. However, some information regarding the local damage near the drain can be gained from GIDL current measurements [19]-[20]. Figures 10 and 11 illustrate the behaviour for the $L_{\text{poly}}=0.48$ and 0.18 $\mu$m n-MOSFETs, respectively. The GIDL is measured from negative to zero gate voltage and corresponds with a drain voltage $V_{DS}=25$ mV and zero substrate bias $V_{BS}$ in this case. In general, there is only a weak dependence on $V_{BS}$, measured in the range down to $-2$ V.

From the GIDL curves, one can again derive a stronger degradation for the NO transistors. While for the OX devices,
As qualitatively similar results have recently been obtained for IMEC’s 0.13\textmu m dimensional numerical device simulations are indispensable. In order to have a better understanding, additional characterisation, using e.g. low-frequency noise are desirable. Moreover, to come to a more detailed and quantitative picture of the degradation mechanisms and its length dependence, 2-dimensional characterisation techniques, enabling a lateral profiling of the generation is higher for shorter $L_{\text{poly}}$. In order to have a better view on the responsible degradation mechanisms, the classical charge separation method has been applied to the input curves of the transistors in the ohmic regime [28]. The effect of possible dopant deactivation effects described in [9]-[10] has been neglected here. Typical results for the areal density of induced interface ($\Delta N_i$) and oxide traps ($\Delta N_{ot}$) are given in Table III. From the data, one can derive that the NO devices show a much stronger charge trapping and higher interface state density than their OX counterparts. This points out the inferior quality of the NO dielectric used here, which was already demonstrated by the pre-rad results of Table IIa and IIb. In addition, the created oxide and interface charge increase with proton fluence. However, it is the balance between the two effects, which dictates the net $V_T$ degradation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$L_{\text{poly}}$ (\textmu m)</th>
<th>Fluence($\times 10^{10}$ p/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>$\Delta N_i$ (cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta N_{ot}$ (cm$^{-2}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.48(OX) 3</td>
<td>0.7x10$^9$</td>
<td>1.8x10$^9$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.18(OX) 3</td>
<td>17.1x10$^9$</td>
<td>5.6x10$^9$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.48(OX) 10</td>
<td>2.3x10$^9$</td>
<td>3.9x10$^9$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.18(OX) 10</td>
<td>12.5x10$^9$</td>
<td>8.1x10$^9$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.48(NO) 3</td>
<td>-5.4x10$^9$</td>
<td>2.0x10$^9$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.18(NO) 3</td>
<td>15.2x10$^9$</td>
<td>23.6x10$^9$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.48(NO) 10</td>
<td>-263x10$^9$</td>
<td>266x10$^9$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.18(NO) 10</td>
<td>260x10$^9$</td>
<td>267x10$^9$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One can easily derive for example the $\Delta V_T$ cross-over behaviour for the OX devices, where $\Delta N_i>\Delta N_{ot}$ for the shorter channel (0.18 \textmu m), while the opposite holds for the long transistor (0.48 \textmu m). The rebound behaviour is also explained readily from these data, both for NO and OX devices. Of course, one can wonder whether the standard charge separation technique still works properly for scaled, short-channel transistors. It should be reasonably well applicable for the longer device considered here. However, for the short-channel case, one should deal with the length-dependence of the subthreshold slope and the $V_T$ (and possible radiation-induced changes). Additionally, the charge separation technique assumes a uniform lateral damage, which may not occur for the shortest devices. Nevertheless, the 0.18 \textmu m data seem to be quite consistent with the 0.48 \textmu m ones in Table III.

**IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION**

Summarising the above findings, it is clear that the degradation of 0.18 \textmu m n-MOSFETs after 60 MeV proton irradiation shows a complex behaviour, whereby different mechanisms contribute. A possible model should enable to explain:
- the length dependence, whereby the shorter channels exhibit the strongest degradation
- the dependence on the gate dielectric
- the anomalous fluence dependence, which shows for most parameters a rebound for the highest fluence studied.
Note finally that the negative $\Delta N_t$ values for the long NO n-MOSFETs, which is in line with the reduction of the subthreshold swing, observed in Fig. 7b.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, it has been shown that overall, NO n-MOSFETs show a more pronounced degradation after 60 MeV proton irradiation. This follows for example from the higher reduction of the threshold voltage and the higher increase in $R_t$. From a viewpoint of space applications, both processing splits show acceptable behaviour, i.e., hardness, since the magnitude of the observed changes is within acceptable levels. Nevertheless, a further process optimisation of the NO oxidation is necessary in order to achieve the same performance as the standard OX technology, both before and after irradiation. Such optimisation is certainly important with respect to further scaling to the 0.13-0.10 $\mu$m CMOS generations. Another surprising finding is that in spite of the thin gate dielectrics considered here, apparently severe charge trapping and interface-state creation occurs. This is especially true for the NO devices. Perhaps more worrying is the observed short-channel dependence of the degradation, which could point to a laterally non-uniform damaging of the near interface region. In the case of proton irradiation, one should consider defects at both sides of the interface, which could explain the complexity of the observed phenomena.
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